## FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP

745 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10151

Telephone: (212) 588-0800 Facsimile: (212) 588-0500 E-mail: Firm@flhlaw.com

### **FACSIMILE COVER LETTER**

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 19 2007

To:

Commissioner for Patents

Examiner Michael N. Opsasnick

Firm:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Art Unit 2626

Facsimile:

(571) 273-8300

From:

William S. Frommer

Date:

July 19, 2007

Re:

FLH Ref No.: 450108-02391

Serial No:

09/674,583

Number of Pages: (including cover page)

3

----

If you do not receive all pages or are unable to read the transmission, please call (212) 588-0800.

#### CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The documents accompanying this transmission contain confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose. The information is private, and is legally protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this facsimile is strictly prohibited.

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant

Hongchang Bao

Notice of Allowance

RECEIVED

Serial No.

Dated: 06/11/2007

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

09/674,583

JUL 19 2007

Filed

February 5, 2001

For

PATTERN RECOGNIZING DEVICE AND METHOD, AND

PROVIDING MEDIUM

Examiner

Michael N. Opsasnick

Art Unit

2626

Confirm No.

1517

745 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10151

#### **FACSIMILE**

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, Facsimile No. (571) 273-8300, on the date shown below.

person signing certific

Type or print name

Signature

July 19, 2007

Date of Signature

## <u>RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR A</u>LLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance, which accompanied the Notice of Allowance mailed June 11, 2007. To the extent the Examiner's

Statement of Reasons for Allowance states, implies or is construed to mean that the claims are allowable over the prior art of record because the Examiner believes the claims should be interpreted to include one or more features or limitations not recited therein, Applicant's attorney disagrees with such an interpretation. Moreover, it is Applicant's contention that there is no particular limitation in the allowed claims that is more critical than any other. The issuance of the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance should not be construed as a surrender by Applicant of any subject matter. It is the intent of Applicant, by his attorney, to construe the allowed claims so as to cover the invention disclosed in the instant application and all equivalents to which the claimed invention is entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP Attorneys for Applicant

Reg. No. 25,506

(212) 588-0800

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**Applicant** 

Hongchang Bao

Notice of Allowance

Dated: 06/11/2007

RECEIVED

Serial No.

09/674,583

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 19 2007

Filed

February 5, 2001

For

PATTERN RECOGNIZING DEVICE AND METHOD, AND

PROVIDING MEDIUM

Examiner

Michael N. Opsasnick

Art Unit

2626

Confirm No.

1517

745 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10151

#### **FACSIMILE**

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, Facsimile No. (571) 273-8300, on the date shown below.

person signing certificat

Signature

July 19, 2007

Date of Signature

# RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance, which accompanied the Notice of Allowance mailed June 11, 2007. To the extent the Examiner's

Statement of Reasons for Allowance states, implies or is construed to mean that the claims are allowable over the prior art of record because the Examiner believes the claims should be interpreted to include one or more features or limitations not recited therein, Applicant's attorney disagrees with such an interpretation. Moreover, it is Applicant's contention that there is no particular limitation in the allowed claims that is more critical than any other. The issuance of the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance should not be construed as a surrender by Applicant of any subject matter. It is the intent of Applicant, by his attorney, to construe the allowed claims so as to cover the invention disclosed in the instant application and all equivalents to which the claimed invention is entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP Attorneys for Applicant

William S. Frommer

Reg. No. 25,506

(212) 588-0800